

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/623,122	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hugh B. Thompson II	<b>Art Unit</b> 3634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Mr. Thomas O'Rourke.

**Status of Application:** newly filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2004

**Time:** 1pm(approx)

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

*1,3,4,9,10*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant was informed of the allowable subject matter of claim 1, however, minor antecedent basis ambiguities and grammatical errors need to be corrected. As such, applicant agrees to proposed changes indicated by the Examiner.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)